

# WCCUSD Subcommittee on Clay Investigation

Alvarado Adult Education Campus

**July 20, 2015**

## **Minutes**

Approved August 10, 2015

### **A. OPENING PROCEDURES**

#### **A.1 Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order at 1:03 PM by Chairperson Liz Block.

#### **A.2 Roll Call**

**Committee Members Present:** Liz Block, Valerie Cuevas, Ivette Ricco

**Staff Attendees:** Lisa LeBlanc, Associate Superintendent for Operations; Phyllis Rosen, Clerical Staff; Harold Freiman, Attorney for the district.

#### **A.3 Approval of Agenda**

**MOTION: Ms. Ricco moved to approve the Agenda. Ms. Cuevas seconded. Ms. Block, Ms. Cuevas and Ms. Ricco voted yes, with no abstentions and no absences. Motion carried 3-0-0-0.**

#### **A.4 Approval of Minutes: July 13, 2015**

**MOTION: Ms. Block moved to approve the minutes of the July 13, 2015 meeting. Ms. Ricco seconded. Ms. Block, Ms. Cuevas and Ms. Ricco voted yes, with no abstentions and no absences. Motion carried 3-0-0-0.**

### **B. DISCUSSION / APPROVAL ITEMS**

#### **Public Comment:**

Mr. Dan Romero commented on the Response to the Request for Qualifications submitted by Rehon Roberts. He asked for more information regarding Attorneys Ruiz and Saenz and their prior affiliations. Mr. Romero also requested that Mr. Kawahara provide more detail with regard to the hours he estimated in his response.

#### **B.1 Interview James K. Kawahara (Kawahara Law) to assist the Subcommittee on behalf of the Board of Education to identify a forensic audit firm.**

Mr. James Kawahara provided a presentation to the Subcommittee. The presentation was followed by questions from Ms. Block, Ms. Cuevas and Ms. Ricco, and responses from Mr. Kawahara.

#### **B.2 Interview Peter M. Rehon and Rogelio M. Ruiz (Rehon & Roberts, APC) on behalf of the Board of Education to identify a forensic audit firm.**

Mr. Peter Rehon and Mr. Rogelio Ruiz provided a presentation to the Subcommittee. The presentation was followed by questions from Ms.

Ms. Ricco said this was a difficult choice. One was a larger firm with a well prepared presentation, while the other was a single attorney who did not appear to fully grasp the full extent of what we were asking for. She sensed that Mr. Kawahara is able to prioritize, and said that it is the forensic au